



EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM
TO STIMULATE
COMPETITIVE RESEARCH

March 12th, 2013

Dear Colleagues,

Last year was a very good year for NSF funding in Utah! As you might have heard, Utah has just exceeded the NSF funding level that made us EPSCoR eligible (0.75% of NSF's budget), so we are not NSF EPSCoR eligible for Fiscal Year 2013 (which began October 1st, 2012). The funding percentage is calculated on a 3-year rolling average, so can change each year as current awards expire. Iowa and Tennessee are in the same position, as seen on the attached eligibility table. NASA and DOE base their EPSCoR eligibility on NSF's, so this impacts our eligibility for those agencies, too.

I thought I would explain how this impacts Utah's EPSCoR funding at the agencies where we had EPSCoR eligibility: NSF, NASA, DOE, and USDA.

NSF:

- Utah will continue current NSF EPSCoR awards, including the EPSCoR Research Infrastructure Track 1 award, pending continued satisfactory performance.
- For the next 3 years, Utah PIs are eligible for **NSF EPSCoR co-funding and workshop** awards, so PIs are encouraged to submit NSF proposals while this funding opportunity still exists.
- Utah is NOT eligible to apply for any additional Research Infrastructure Improvement awards (Track 2, Track X, etc.) until our percentage of NSF funding falls below 0.75%.

NASA:

- Utah can participate in the 2013 EPSCoR Research solicitation, since the NSF announcement was made after the release of the NASA EPSCoR solicitation.
- Utah will continue the recently awarded Research Infrastructure Development (RID) awards through the end of the performance period, pending continued satisfactory performance.
- Utah will continue current NASA EPSCoR awards, pending continued satisfactory performance.



EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM
TO STIMULATE
COMPETITIVE RESEARCH

DOE:

- DOE has not yet released their FY13 EPSCoR call for proposals yet. When they do, Utah will NOT be eligible to apply for DOE EPSCoR funds in FY13.
- Utah PIs are eligible for DOE EPSCoR co-funding for **Early Career** awards, so PIs are encouraged to submit Early Career proposals while this funding opportunity still exists.
- Utah PIs are also encouraged to attend PI meetings of their regular DOE program areas.

USDA:

- Utah PIs are eligible for USDA EPSCoR funds for FY13. USDA has its own way of calculating eligibility.
- USDA EPSCoR status only counts for application to **AFRI programs**. In the application package there is a form called AFRI Project Type where you check the box for the type of grant application. Utah would be eligible for any of those listed under FASE Strengthening. Utah PIs can also apply for sabbatical, equipment, or seed grant EPSCoR funds
- The USDA EPSCoR deadlines, LOI requirements, review, etc. are all the same as a non-EPSCoR proposal, but Utah can be funded from an EPSCoR set-aside of funds.
- Utah PIs are encouraged to send in USDA/NIFA/AFRI proposals while **FY13 EPSCoR eligibility** still exists.

Sincerely,

Rita

Rita Teutonico, Ph.D.
Utah EPSCoR State Director

A jurisdiction is eligible to participate in the NSF EPSCoR Research Infrastructure Improvement Grant Program (RII) if their most recent 3-year level of NSF research support is equal to or less than 0.75%.

STATE	FY 2010 Research Support		FY 2011 Research Support		FY 2012 Research Support		FY 2010-12 Research Support		% of Total \$
	Amt \$k	Cnt	Amt \$k	Cnt	Amt \$k	Cnt	Amt \$k	Cnt	
Grand Total	\$5,966,805	20,635	\$5,506,661	19,083	\$5,630,980	19,483	\$17,104,446	59,201	100.00%
Other	\$27,949	38	\$37,131	47	\$30,171	33	\$95,251	118	0.56%
US Total	\$5,938,856	20,597	\$5,469,530	19,036	\$5,600,809	19,450	\$17,009,195	59,083	99.44%
Guam	\$20	1	\$366	3	\$362	2	\$748	6	0.00%
Virgin Islands	\$2,625	1	\$3,463	2	\$2,074	2	\$8,162	5	0.05%
Vermont	\$7,356	45	\$13,910	33	\$5,537	34	\$26,803	112	0.16%
Puerto Rico	\$15,630	38	\$8,305	29	\$4,852	18	\$28,787	85	0.17%
North Dakota	\$11,307	42	\$14,403	40	\$5,113	34	\$30,823	116	0.18%
Wyoming	\$10,473	59	\$14,607	55	\$12,954	47	\$38,034	161	0.22%
South Dakota	\$12,254	42	\$13,086	163	\$13,186	37	\$38,526	242	0.23%
West Virginia	\$11,233	42	\$11,951	43	\$16,138	39	\$39,322	124	0.23%
Nevada	\$14,400	62	\$14,142	69	\$12,023	62	\$40,565	193	0.24%
Idaho	\$13,017	50	\$12,511	56	\$16,097	67	\$41,625	173	0.24%
Arkansas	\$19,240	77	\$9,680	66	\$14,060	66	\$42,980	209	0.25%
Mississippi	\$19,182	81	\$15,099	62	\$13,432	69	\$47,713	212	0.28%
Montana	\$16,383	83	\$16,423	62	\$17,714	77	\$50,520	222	0.30%
Kentucky	\$18,125	108	\$19,984	103	\$19,113	97	\$57,222	308	0.33%
Maine	\$21,370	91	\$19,093	78	\$20,522	69	\$60,985	238	0.36%
Nebraska	\$26,540	92	\$18,870	88	\$19,106	79	\$64,516	259	0.38%
Delaware	\$26,226	124	\$23,761	115	\$27,679	131	\$77,666	370	0.45%
Oklahoma	\$26,368	127	\$26,090	124	\$26,698	118	\$79,156	369	0.46%
Alaska	\$19,817	105	\$30,206	108	\$31,005	104	\$81,028	317	0.47%
Alabama	\$24,068	168	\$27,100	158	\$30,486	174	\$81,654	500	0.48%
New Hampshire	\$29,239	141	\$31,005	117	\$31,907	124	\$92,151	382	0.54%
Louisiana	\$35,337	196	\$32,658	176	\$33,134	159	\$101,129	531	0.59%
Rhode Island*	\$41,619	204	\$28,309	187	\$34,403	202	\$104,331	593	0.61%
South Carolina*	\$35,867	196	\$34,711	164	\$37,806	184	\$108,384	544	0.63%
Hawaii*	\$42,111	137	\$34,294	126	\$32,804	113	\$109,209	376	0.64%
New Mexico	\$40,594	150	\$35,830	145	\$33,781	124	\$110,205	419	0.64%
Kansas	\$37,177	140	\$32,913	133	\$44,073	151	\$114,163	424	0.67%
Missouri	\$37,390	246	\$38,051	232	\$41,353	234	\$116,794	712	0.68%
Utah	\$33,880	206	\$41,671	185	\$55,986	209	\$131,537	600	0.77%
Iowa	\$44,002	219	\$51,958	202	\$44,249	205	\$140,209	626	0.82%
Tennessee	\$40,751	184	\$56,575	231	\$63,136	240	\$160,462	655	0.94%
Connecticut	\$53,150	317	\$56,909	297	\$50,928	279	\$160,987	893	0.94%
Oregon*	\$67,137	316	\$69,784	260	\$58,369	280	\$195,290	856	1.14%
Minnesota	\$78,930	316	\$77,377	304	\$86,299	332	\$242,606	952	1.42%
Washington*	\$11,358	520	\$102,228	426	\$132,449	494	\$246,035	1,440	1.44%
Georgia	\$105,534	513	\$89,767	455	\$101,141	482	\$296,442	1,450	1.73%
Wisconsin	\$97,377	387	\$101,638	395	\$110,844	406	\$309,859	1,188	1.81%
Ohio	\$101,550	549	\$103,687	514	\$112,750	540	\$317,987	1,603	1.86%
New Jersey	\$123,198	489	\$118,873	450	\$116,201	469	\$358,272	1,408	2.09%
Maryland*	\$117,960	525	\$120,858	482	\$123,564	481	\$362,382	1,488	2.12%
Indiana	\$132,420	479	\$132,133	459	\$119,103	464	\$383,656	1,402	2.24%
Florida	\$142,819	597	\$127,884	541	\$131,175	548	\$401,878	1,686	2.35%
North Carolina*	\$134,082	631	\$140,727	571	\$158,857	604	\$433,666	1,806	2.54%
Michigan	\$152,332	666	\$164,864	639	\$151,650	668	\$468,846	1,973	2.74%
Arizona	\$165,050	478	\$168,001	441	\$136,269	439	\$469,320	1,358	2.74%
Texas	\$176,559	1,065	\$229,220	1,077	\$212,154	1,070	\$617,933	3,212	3.61%
District of Columbia	\$205,668	277	\$181,303	270	\$248,648	291	\$635,619	838	3.72%
Pennsylvania	\$221,268	1,078	\$212,660	1,011	\$215,250	1,032	\$649,178	3,121	3.80%
Virginia*	\$315,044	526	\$361,102	531	\$186,618	551	\$862,764	1,608	5.04%
Colorado	\$349,199	559	\$294,597	540	\$277,272	567	\$921,068	1,666	5.38%
Illinois	\$299,965	910	\$325,159	850	\$347,776	888	\$972,900	2,648	5.69%
Massachusetts	\$350,789	1,322	\$370,803	1,280	\$384,527	1,282	\$1,106,119	3,884	6.47%
New York*	\$368,415	1,577	\$382,305	1,517	\$376,491	1,475	\$1,127,211	4,569	6.59%
California*	\$845,667	2,611	\$779,432	2,487	\$728,275	2,512	\$2,353,374	7,610	13.76%

***NOTE: Research support numbers were adjusted for the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) and for large-scale logistical operations.**

Funding for to Raytheon Technical Services (VA) to support the US Arctic Program was in the amount of \$70,866 (2012)

Funding to the U.S. Department of Defense (CA) to support the US Arctic Program was in the amount of \$53,713 (2012)

Funding for ship operations (Hilo, HI) to support the US Arctic Program was in the amounts of \$6,614 (2010), \$3,895 (2011), and \$6,768 (2012).

Funding to the Lockheed Martin Corporation(MD) to support the US Arctic Program was in the amount of \$96,964 (2012).

Funding to Duke University, NC for Ship Operations (R/V Hatteras) to support the Oceans Program was in the amount of \$1,429 (2010), \$954 (2011), and \$1,107 (2012).

Funding to Columbia University, NY for Ship Operations (R/V Langseth) to support Oceans Program was in the amount of \$7,987 (2010), \$7,715 (2011), and \$12,257 (2012).

Funding to Oregon State University, OR Ship Operations to support the Ocean Drilling Program was in the amount of \$1,506 (2010), \$1,927 (2011), and \$2,781 (2012).

Funding to support the research vessel Endeavor (Narragansett, RI) was in the amount of \$2,254 (2010), \$2,774 (2011), and \$2,614 (2012).

Funding to the Naval Systems Engineering Center (Charleston, SC) to support the US Arctic Program was in the amounts of \$27,273 (2010), \$6,497 (2011), and \$21,090 (2012).

Funding to the Joint Institute for Computational Sciences (Knoxville/Oak Ridge, TN) was in the amounts of \$2,850 (2010), \$6,497 (2011), and \$6,726 (2012).

Funding to University of Washington, WA for Ship Operations (R/V Thompson) to support Arctic Research Program was in the amount of \$5,129 (2010), \$3,380 (2011), and \$5,095 (2012).

Source: Budget Internet Information System